

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/784,911	02/23/2004	Frank Y. Xu	PA115-57-03	6149	
7590 03/22/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Kelly K. Kordzik			EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
Winstead Sechrest and Minick P.C. P.O. Box 50784 Dallas, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/784,911	XU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	anuary 2006.						
,	_ · · _ · _ · _ · .						
,	ace this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
· _	•	•					
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		•					
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ciccion requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived					
Attachment(s)	•	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0704, 1104, 0305	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: See Conti	al Patent Application (PTO-152) Inuation Sheet					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other IDS's 0405, 0605, 0805 & 0905

Art Unit: 1713

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The combination of information disclosure statements filed 07/04, 11/04, 03/05, 04/05, 06/05, 08/05 and 09/05 pre persecution, amounting to 40 pages of IDS with as much as 34 references per pages, is to large a disclosure to be considered with a enough specificity during persecution. Applicant overwhelming disclosure lacks any direction as to what applicant actually thinks is the closest relevant art to applicant's invention. Such disclosure is not disclosure at all. Applicant is directed to specify which of the multitude of references applicant considers to be relevant, including a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information. The IDS's have considered to the extent that is reasonable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

•

Application/Control Number: 10/784,911 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

4. Ex parte Markush sanctions claiming a genus expressed as a group consisting of certain specified materials. See Ex parte Head, 214 USPQ 551 (Bd. App. 1981); In re Gaubert, 524 F.2d 1222, 187 USPQ 664 (CCPA 1975); and In re Harnisch, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980). One acceptable form of a Markush group, recites members as being "selected from the group consisting of A, B and C." See Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126 (Comm'r Pat. 1925). However, it is improper to use the term "selected from the set of ... consisting essentially of", such as in claims 5 and 9-11. Ex parte Dotter, 12 USPQ 382 (Bd. App. 1931) See MPEP 2173.05(h). The members of the claimed groups in each claim is indefinite due to the "consisting 'essentially of" language.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pachl et al. (USPN

Application/Control Number: 10/784,911

Art Unit: 1713

6,174,932) or Wojnarowicz (USPN 5,149,592) and claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaouk et al. (USPN 6,060,530, 6,015,609, 6,160,030 or 6,225,367).

Each of Pachl et al. (claim 15), Wojnarowicz (col. 1, line 43 to col. 2, line 33) and Chaouk et al. [(col. 8, lines 55-59, col. 10, lines 1-4 and col. 13, lines 26-29 in '530), (col. 9, lines 10-14, col. 10, lines 54-55 and col. 14, lines 34-37 in '609), (col. 10, lines 60-64 and col. 13, lines 21-22 in '030) and (col. 13, lines 10-13, col. 17, lines 2-33 and the examples in '367)] teach a curable composition comprising an acrylate monomer, a fluorinated surfactant and a photoinitiator.

While the prior art may not expressly teach the disclosed properties of the claimed composition and the resulting cured product, it is reasonable that the compositions of the prior art would possess the presently claimed properties since the composition are essentially the same as the claimed composition and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old composition is not patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties or applications. In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.

Even if assuming that the prior art references do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive composition because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,911

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

KCF